

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/541,326	04/02/2000	Ken Abbott	294438007US	4164
25096	7590 01/30/2003			
PERKINS COIE LLP			EXAMINER	
PATENT-SEA P.O. BOX 124	7		MIZRAHI,	DIANE D
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2175	
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2003	}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/541,326	ABBOTT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DIANE D. MIZRAHI	2175				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	<u> April 2000</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-102 is/are pending in the application.						
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	r election requirement					
8) Claim(s) 1-102 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		DIANEA MIZRALH				
 Certified copies of the priority document 		PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ 	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Art Unit: 2175

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to retrospectively analyzing experiences of human user of a general purpose computing device classified in class 707, subclass 104.1.
- II. Claims 9-48; 54-80 and 86-93, drawn to specifying context attributes for logging, classified in class 707, subclass 102.
- III. Claims 49-53 drawn to context attribute log data structure, classified in class 707, subclass 101.
- IV. Claims 81-85; 94-102 are drawn to simulation of selected earlier-occurring conditions, classified in class 703, subclass 13.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility retrospectively analyzing experiences of human user of a general purpose computing device

Art Unit: 2175

without requiring the to specifying context attributes for logging of invention II. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as data structure that is not retrospectively analyzing experiences of human user of a general purpose computing device of invention I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has simulation of selected earlier-occurring conditions that is not retrospectively analyzing experiences of human user of a general purpose computing device of invention I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has to context attribute log data structure that is not specifying

Art Unit: 2175

context attributes for logging of invention II. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Examiner contacted Attorney Steven Lawrenz on January 28, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirements, but did not result in an election being.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Points of Contact

2. Any inquiry concerning this communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane Mizrahi whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2175

(703) 305-3806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 AM. to 5:00 PM.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on (703) 305-3830.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 or telefaxed at our phone number (703) 308-9051.

For formal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT". Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, 4th Floor Receptionist, Arlington, Virginia.

Ziane Mizrahi

Primary Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2100

January 29, 2003